

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/31/2001 Daniel S. Pilch 266/300 1597 09/945,155 EXAMINER 03/03/2004 7590 34055 WANG, SHENGJUN PERKINS COIE LLP POST OFFICE BOX 1208 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208 1617

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14			
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,155	PILCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shengjun Wang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which Il (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ntion. A proper reply to a not places the application in	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periode fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate exte originally set in the final Office action	nsion n; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 first and second paragraph.			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendme	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,6-9,11,12 and 18-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
0 □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)/ PTO 1440) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:	, , , , ,	PRIMARY EXAMINE	
•		Shengjun Wang	

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments further limit the scope of claimed subject matter and would require further search and consideration..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. Particularly, the claimed method read on administering neomycin to a HIV patient. The mechanistic interpretation as to how the neomycin inhibits the virus does not have patentable weight to the method.